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Yoga is the cessation of mind-movement.

Thus wrote Patañjali at the beginning of his Yoga-sūtra, relating to his technique of attaining final emancipation (moksa). Both Yogic and Buddhist schools of thought share fundamental presuppositions about yoga. Included, among others, are: a.) the equation: existence equals suffering, and b.) the doctrine of the possibility of obtaining transcendental knowledge (jñāna, prajñā). In addition, both schools stress a form of self-discipline for obtaining release. The growing preoccupation of contemporary western studies in religion and the therapies etc., with the nature of consciousness, the essential characteristics of human suffering, and the freedom from such, leads invariably to the examination and theoretical consideration of the individual's efforts to master consciousness.

Our editors, in the Introduction, understand such expertise to encompass... a greater capacity to deploy various forms of attention in a host of ways towards any particular objects, thoughts, and feelings which make up the whole of our sensory environments. Yogic practices, however, aim also to reduce those aspects of the consciousness flow which are viewed as hindrances to attaining the "... ideal of perceptual and cognitive freedom", i.e., the final emancipation of highest samādhi. It is my intention, in this essay, to compare Hindu and Buddhist techniques of achieving samādhi and thus to contribute, hopefully, a little to the clarification of some consciousness studies in Eastern traditions of philosophy, psychology, and religion.

In particular, I will examine the method relating to the samādhi of Patañjali's Yoga-sūtra and to that of
the Buddhist P. Rūpa and Arūpa Jhānas* In these cases, 
technique refers to the gradual removal of hindrances, 
to the refinement of consciousness, until the psychic 
flux is arrested and the experience of complete fusion 
of the subject and object of meditation ensues: then, 
'... the true nature of the object shines forth not 
distracted by the mind of the perceiver ...'.2

In Patanjali’s system of yoga there are eight steps 
(astānga) or stages: two, namely moral restraints 
(yama) and disciplines of the body and psyche (niyama), 
are considered to be necessary preliminaries to the 
remainder: three are accessory to mind control by 
governing the disciplining of body (āsana), vital 
force (prāṇāyāma) and senses (pratyāhāra) and the final 
three stages are the process by which the experience of 
unification occurs. These are concentration (dhyāna), 
meditation (dhyāna) and entasis (samādhi), described as 
stasis and conjunction. Three these steps are known 
as 'sāmyama'. The eighth stage, entasis, is the final 
phase of the unification process.

Dhārāna is ‘... holding the mind in a motionless 
state...’ by fixing it to some mental or external object 
by repeated effort. Eventually, an even current of 
thought (dhyāna), undisturbed by other thoughts, is 
directed towards the object, thus allowing the yogin 
‘... to intercept the flux of ordinary mental activity,’ 
(citta-vṛtti)4 which gradually diminishes as the 
absorption deepens. The result is samādhi.

When samādhi is obtained with the aid of an object 
of concentration, this is called 'samprajñāta-samādhi'; 
the lower form of samādhi which is the accurate know-
ing of distinguishables5 In sampāra-samādhi 
are eight ontological levels of the contemplated object 
and degrees of fusion attained. Each level has its 
own mode of function or logic. It may be that the 
states are not discrete but are differences discerned 
on a continuum. These are: a. vitarka, b. vicāra, 
c. ānanda and d. asmita, each of which have two forms: 
'sa' forms and 'nir' forms. 'Sa' forms are 'propertied' 
when the object of meditation is associated with 
deliberation and reflection: the mind names the object 
(sābda), gives it significance (artha) and ‘... prop-
erties by comparison with some other thing (smṛti) on 
the basis of remembered past experience.’8 They have

* Sanskrit has been used throughout except in cases 
where the Pāli seemed appropriate. These are marked with 
the letter ‘P’.

a sense of 'I'.

'Nir' forms are those without deliberation and 
reflection: the mind does not interfere and so there 
is no 'I' sense.

Both types are 'seeded' (sabija); that is, they are 
‘... in relation with a 'substratum' (support) and 
produce tendencies that are like 'seeds' for the future 
functions of consciousness.’7

Sa-vitarka samādhi, then, is entasis when the mind 
has a gross (sthūla) aspect of the object of concen-
tration as its base, while being accompanied by 
deliberation and reflection. It is a direct perception 
of the object that extends into the past and future. 
Nir-vitarka samādhi is the moment when the object is 
empty of name and meaning: when the mind ceases link-
ing verbal and logical associations with it and the 
object is ‘... grasped directly... as a concrete and 
irreducible datum.’8

Sa-vicāra samādhi is the level of knowing the subtle 
(suksma) or inner aspect of the object of concentration 
accompanied with deliberation and reflection. However, 
in nir-vicāra samādhi, when absorption deepens and 
supramental reflection ceases... thought then becomes 
one with these infinitesimal nucleuses of energy which 
constitute the true foundation of the physical uni-
verse.’9

At this same point of entasis are found two other 
forms of contemplation; Ananda-samādhi, associated 
with supreme joy - unspeakable bliss. This becomes 
a state of pure consciousness, and all perception, 
including that of the subtle aspect, is abandoned. 
This leads to asmita samādhi: the stage at which the 
self becomes the sole object of reflection and... the 
yogi reaches his true self and understands ‘I am 
(asmi) other than my body’.10

This level of knowing is also called ‘dharma-mega-
śamādhi’: the 'cloud of dharma' in which the yogin 
... feels that he is saturated (with virtues) and... 
has a feeling of 'Enough' in respect to all knowledge 
and all consciousness...’ and so precipitates the 
subject orientated enstasy - asamprajñāta-samādhi.11

Asamprajñāta samādhi, procured by supreme detach-
ment or abandonment (para-vairāgya) of all worldly ob-
jects, still remains seeded with subconscious impressions (sabjā) but these are burnt up with repeated practice^{14} until the yogin attains the nirbija (without seed) state of Kaivalya - ... the enstasy of total emptiness, without sensory content or intellectual structure... in which the yogin is actually all Being.\textsuperscript{13} Emancipation!

The samādhi of Buddhism is considered to be similar to that of the Yoga-sūtra\textsuperscript{4} and the meditational techniques bear some similarity, as I hope to show. In this instance, I will examine, mainly, the different Buddhist Raptures (P. jhāna) in relation to samprājñāta and asamprājñāta samādhi.

The Noble Eight-fold Path of the Buddha, like Patañjali's āstāna-yoga, is concerned also with moral, bodily, and mental discipline. The last three members of the Path are directly related to the unification process. These are: a. 'right exertion' (P. samma-vayama), which, by controlling the emotive reactions to external activity, wards off unwholesome mental activity; b. 'right mindfulness' (P. samma-sati), which is the cultivation of awareness in order to keep the mental contents under constant control and to produce relaxation of body and mind. This is done by choosing a certain body function, such as breathing, and following it with the mind, and c. the development of 'right unification' (P. samma-samādhi) which comprises of eight meditative phases named P. 'jhāna'.

These phases of consciousness are progressively refined until all false ideas of life, matter and the hindrance of thought are dispersed. Like the levels of samādhi in the Yoga-sūtra, these are divided into two main types: the P. Rūpa Jhānas which are attained by concentration and meditation on a mental or external form and b. the P. Arūpa Jhānas: the 'formless attainments.'

The four P. Rūpa Jhānas, the practise of mind-object contemplation, possess five psychological elements which are reduced as the concentration of mind intensifies. These are: 1. investigation (vitarka, P. vitakka), 2. reasoning (vicāra), 3. zest (P. piti), 4. happiness (P. piti-sukha) and 5. one-pointedness of mind (P. ekaggatācitta).\textsuperscript{15}

The first P. jhāna is the state of mind in which the meditation is disassociated from sense desires and the five psychological factors, previously mentioned, arise in him. The object of reflection is taken up and considered at length.\textsuperscript{16} This seems, to me, to be similar to the 'sa' forms of samprajñāta samādhi in which the object of concentration, in its gross and subtle aspects, is considered in similar light.

However, as concentration and bliss intensifies, the second P. jhāna is entered and the obstacles of applied and discursive thinking are dispensed with.\textsuperscript{17} The 'nine' forms of nir-vitarka and nir-vicāra samādhi bear a resemblance to this state in the sense that the gross and subtle aspects of that meditation are experienced without reflection.

When the third P. jhāna is entered, zest is dispensed with, leaving happiness and one pointness of mind. In this state ' ... the sense of self is still further diminished... the there supervenes a clear, unruffled, perfectly conscious bliss...\textsuperscript{18}' Ananda samādhi resembles this experience because of supreme joy being its object of concentration. However, the tranquil, all satisfying happiness (P. piti) of the third P. jhāna gives way to the subtle joy of tranquil mindfulness which probably bears a greater resemblance to the transition towards asmita samādhi.

The last stage of the P. Rūpa Jhānas is reached when any kind of emotion is stopped and all that remains of the five psychological factors is one-pointedness of mind; consciousness of opposites is transcended creating perfect equanimity\textsuperscript{20} and consciousness passes beyond to the first of the P. Arūpa Jhānas; the formless attainments. I am reminded, here, of the renunciation of dharma-megha-samādhi and the entry to the subject orientated enstasy of asamprajñāta samādhi by supreme detachment and abandonment of all worldly objects.

It is at this point of the essay that I would like to draw attention, again, to seeded consciousness and consciousness without seed. When it is seeded, consciousness is affected by suppressed ideas and feelings which remain as tendencies within the mind: these tendencies lose their power to germinate in the higher realms of consciousness.\textsuperscript{21}

I feel that the P. Arūpa Jhānas resemble the sabjā-samprajñāta-samādhi, which is seeded, at least, due to the inclination to sustain this samādhi. The formless attainments are seeded to the degree that they owe their
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movement, through the levels of consciousness, to karmic momentum and that the fourth P. Arupa Jhana is the stage of transition to consciousness without seed. As consciousness becomes increasingly purified, the complete removal of one (rupa) stage constitutes the attainment of the next.  

The first formless attainment is when the meditator abandons form as his object of concentration and practices space concentration to realize the sphere of space-infinity (P. akasayatana). Even so, he sees that his attainment is shadowed by the rupa-Jhana (and) wishes to attain the state of infinite consciousness, considering it to be even more tranquil. By continuing this practice, he passes beyond the sphere of infinite space and enters the sphere of infinite consciousness: the second formless attainment (P. vinnaññanācāyata). This, also, is seen as an imperfection so the consciousness lets go of every concept and enters a sphere where no-thing at all exists, not even the perception of nothingness (P. nevasannanasānyayatana). Self-consciousness is eliminated and the knower and known are merged in unity.  

Yet, the bliss of its tranquility is felt as subtle perception and this can only be neutralized by entering the sphere of neither-perception nor non-perception (P. nevasannanasānyayatana). Thus, the limit of all karmic action is reached; the yogin is at the final and transitional stage to the attainment of Nirvāṇa which is the total suspension of mind, mental properties, and the mental qualities associated with mind.  

There is similarity between Yogic and Buddhist techniques of attaining samādhi but in each case the emancipation is thought to be different. While admitting that yogins and non-Buddhist ascetics could have access to the eight transic states (P. jhanas), the Buddhists denied the authenticity of any claim by non-Buddhists to the attainment of the ninth state which is the release of Nirvāṇa: the destruction of consciousness and sensation which was specifically a discovery of the Buddha. Whilst Buddhists (and others) would argue that Kaivalya and Nirvāṇa are completely different, the argument is perhaps not so clear cut. There is a striking correspondence between Kaivalya and Nirvāṇa in that both are thought of as pure, free of defilements, uncompounded, infinite, and eternal. Both are profoundly concerned with unconditioned consciousness. Furthermore, some doubt exists as to what is implied by the 'destruction' of consciousness; i.e., when consciousness 'stops' or 'ceases' on the attainment of Nirvāṇa. 

It is not said to be annihilated. It no longer transmigrates. Perhaps this is the essential difference between Kaivalya and Nirvāṇa: the fact that Buddhism makes no positive statement about the final state of the released. Buddhist doctrine declares: 'In the dead man, not only are the three formations (verbal, bodily and thought formations) stilled but vitality is cut off, heat is cut off, the faculties are cut off. In a man who has entered the attainment of the dissolution of perception and sensation, although the (three) formations are stilled, vitality, heat and the faculties are not cut off. This is the difference.' It could be that this difference applies equally to the achiever of Kaivalya and that Nirvāṇa equates with the nirbija state of Kaivalya.  

In conclusion, I note that both Hindu and Buddhist yogins, after a period of moral and physical discipline, developed proficiency at attaining a degree of enstasy with the object of their concentration and, by the gradual removal of hindrances to consciousness, managed to intensify the degree of enstasy; eventually, object-orientated enstasy was transcended and a degree of formless attainment/subject-orientated enstasy ensued. This however, was still liable to subtle partiality but was eventually surpassed to attain liberation and the knowledge of the ultimate reality of all objects, material and phenomenal.  
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